

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
08/979,567	11/26/97	SHIOTA		K	2091-0145P-S			
	ART KOLASCH	LM71/1220 & BIRCH	220 ७ [MORGAN,	AMINER			
P O BOX 74 FALLS CHUR	7 CH VA 22040-	-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED:

12/20/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s)

08/979,567

Examiner

Group Art Unit **George Morgan**

2761

Shiota et al



														_#	
Τŀ	IE PER	RIOD FO	R RES	PONS	E: [check	only a) or	b)]								
	a) [X]	expires	3	31	nonths from	the mailing	date of the f	inal rejecti	on.						
	b) 🗌	expires is later. rejectior	In no ev	ree mon ent, hov	hs from the ever, will th	mailing date e statutory pe	of the final eriod for the	rejection, o response	or on the m expire later	nailing date r than six i	e of this Ac months fro	dvisory Act om the date	ion, whiche of the fina	ever al	
	date on	which the	e respon:	se, the c	etition, and	ng a petition the fee have prresponding hortened stat	been filed is	the date	of the respo	onse and a	also the da	ite for the r	ourposes o	The of	
X						om the da								or within :	any
						tion, filed cation in c				_ has b	een con	sidered	with the	e followir	ng effect,
X	The pr	roposed	amend	dment(s):										
		ill be ent		•	_	otice of Ap	peal and	an Appe	al Brief.						
		thev ra	ise nev	v issue	s that wo	uld require	further co	onsidera	tion and/	or searc	h. (See	note bel	ow).		
		-				atter. (See									
	×	-	e not d	eemed		the applica			for app	eal by m	aterially	reducing	or simp	olifying the	Э
					al claims	without ca	incelling a	corresp	onding r	number	of finally	rejected	claims.		
	NO	TE: _													
		_													
	□ Ar	oplicant's	s respo	nse ha	s overcor	ne the follo	owing reje	ection(s):							
	_														
	_									, ,,					
	Newly	y propos	ed or a	mend	ed claims	ncelling th					w	ould be a	illowable	e if submit	tted in a
	separ	ate, time	ely filed	amer	dment ca	ncelling th	e non-allo	wable c	aims.						
		iffidavit, lowance			uest for re	considera	tion has b	een con	sidered b	out does	NOT pla	ace the a	pplicatio	on in cond	ition
										 					
		iffidavit o iner in tl				onsidered	because i	t is not d	irected S	SOLELY	to issue:	s which \	were nev	wly raised	by the
X	For p	urposes	of App	eal, th	e status o	f the claim	s is as fol	lows (se	e attache	ed writte	n explan	ation, if a	any):		
	Claim	s allowe	ed:												
	Claim	is rejecte	ed: <u>1-2</u>	27											
	The p	roposed	l drawi	ng cori	ection file	d on			_ ha	as 🗌 ha	as not be	en appro	oved by t	the Exami	iner.
	Note t	the attac	ched In	format	on Disclo	sure State	ment(s), F	PTO-144	9, Paper	r No(s)					
	Other														

Serial Number: 08/979,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2761

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive, and the request for allowance is respectfully denied. Applicant is directed to the prior Office action for a more detailed explanation of the reasons for this final rejection.

One point that is worth re-emphasizing is that sufficient motivation would have existed for modifying the method of Moghadam et al. to include "high resolution picture image data" as taught by PC Magazine Online. It was pointed out in the prior Office action that the motivation would have been "to provide customers with higher quality photographic images to ensure that the photograph selected for order would appear similar to the actual photograph the customer would receive". See paragraph 7, lines 4-8 of the June 8, 1999 Office action. In the context of remotely selecting and ordering photographs, providing a clearer image over a more blurry image of the photographs would have been obviously desirable to one of ordinary skill in the art. Thus, it can be seen that adequate motivation to combine the references did exist.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 306-2906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Voeltz, can be reached on (703) 305-9714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-0040.

Serial Number: 08/979,810

Page 3

Art Unit: 2761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

December 20, 1999

D.M.

ALLEN R. MACDONALD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER